

# THE HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF THE ALGEBRAIC $K$ -THEORY OF THE SPHERE SPECTRUM

ANDREW J. BLUMBERG AND MICHAEL A. MANDELL

ABSTRACT. We calculate the homotopy groups of  $K(\mathbb{S})$  in terms of the homotopy groups of  $K(\mathbb{Z})$ , the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^{\infty}$ , and the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{S}$ . This completes the program begun by Waldhausen, who computed the rational homotopy groups (building on work of Quillen and Borel), and continued by Rognes, who calculated the groups at regular primes in terms of the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^{\infty}$ , and the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{S}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The algebraic  $K$ -theory of the sphere spectrum  $K(\mathbb{S})$  is Waldhausen's  $A(*)$ , the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the one-point space. The underlying infinite loop space of  $K(\mathbb{S})$  splits as a copy of the underlying infinite loop space of  $\mathbb{S}$  and the smooth Whitehead space of a point  $Wh^{\text{Diff}}(*)$ . For a high-dimensional highly-connected compact manifold  $M$ , the second loop space of  $Wh^{\text{Diff}}$  approximates the stable concordance space of  $M$ , and the loop space of  $Wh^{\text{Diff}}$  parametrizes stable  $h$ -cobordisms in low dimensions. As a consequence, computation of the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the sphere spectrum is a fundamental problem in algebraic and differential topology.

Early efforts in this direction were carried out by Waldhausen in the 1980's using the "linearization" map  $K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z})$  from the  $K$ -theory of the sphere spectrum to the  $K$ -theory of the integers. This is induced by the map of (highly structured) ring spectra  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Waldhausen showed that because the map  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is an isomorphism on homotopy groups in degree zero (and below) and a rational equivalence on higher homotopy groups, the map  $K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z})$  is a rational equivalence. Borel's computation of the rational homotopy groups of  $K(\mathbb{Z})$  then applies to calculate the rational homotopy groups of  $K(\mathbb{S})$ :  $\pi_q K(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is dimension 1 when  $q = 0$  or  $q = 4k + 1$  for  $k > 1$  and is zero in all other degrees.

In the 1990's, work of Bökstedt, Goodwillie, Hsiang, and Madsen revolutionized the computation of algebraic  $K$ -theory with the introduction and study of *topological cyclic homology*  $TC$ , an analogue of negative cyclic homology that can be computed using the methods of equivariant stable homotopy theory.  $TC$  is the target of the *cyclotomic trace*, a natural transformation  $K \rightarrow TC$ . For a map of

---

*Date:* November 3, 2016.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55Q10, 19D10.

*Key words and phrases.* Algebraic  $K$ -theory of spaces, stable pseudo-isotopy theory, Whitehead space, cyclotomic trace.

The first author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1151577.

The second author was supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1105255, DMS-1505579.

highly structured ring spectra such as  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , naturality gives a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathbb{S}) & \longrightarrow & TC(\mathbb{S}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & TC(\mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

the *linearization/cyclotomic trace square*. A foundational theorem of Dundas [8] (building on work of McCarthy [19] and Goodwillie [11]) states that the square above becomes homotopy cartesian after  $p$ -completion, which means that the maps of homotopy fibers become weak equivalences after  $p$ -completion.

In the 2000's, Rognes [27] used the linearization/cyclotomic trace square to compute the homotopy groups of  $K(\mathbb{S})$  at odd regular primes in terms of the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{S}$  and the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty$  (assuming the now affirmed Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture). The answer is easiest to express in terms of the torsion subgroups: Because  $\pi_n K(\mathbb{S})$  is finitely generated, it is the direct sum of a free part and a torsion part, the free part being  $\mathbb{Z}$  when  $n = 0$  or  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n > 1$ , and 0 otherwise. The main theorem of Rognes [27] is that for  $p$  an odd regular prime the  $p$ -torsion of  $\pi_* K(\mathbb{S})$  is

$$\mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_* K(\mathbb{S})) \cong \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_* \mathbb{S} \oplus \pi_{*-1} c \oplus \pi_{*-1} \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)$$

(which can be made canonical, as discussed below). Here  $c$  denotes the additive  $p$ -complete cokernel of  $J$  spectrum (the connected cover of the homotopy fiber of the map  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow L_{K(1)}\mathbb{S}$ ); its homotopy groups are all torsion and are direct summands of  $\pi_* \mathbb{S}$ . The spectrum  $\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$  is a wedge summand of  $(\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge$  [17, (1.3)], [27, p.166],  $(\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge \simeq \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \vee \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$ ; using unpublished work of Knapp, Rognes [27, 4.7] calculates the order of these torsion groups in degrees  $\leq 2(2p+1)(p-1)-4$ . Rognes' argument identifies the homotopy type of the homotopy fiber of the cyclotomic trace, assuming the now affirmed Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture. The regularity hypothesis comes into the argument in two ways: First, the homotopy type of  $K(\mathbb{Z})$  is completely understood at regular primes by the work of Dwyer and Mitchell [9] and the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture. Second, the Bökstedt-Hsiang-Madsen geometric Soulé embedding splits part of  $TC(\mathbb{S})$  off of  $K(\mathbb{S})$  if (and only if)  $p$  is a regular prime.

This paper computes  $\pi_* K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  in the case of irregular primes, thereby completing the computation of the homotopy groups of the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the sphere spectrum. We take a very different approach; as a first step, we prove the following splitting theorem in Section 4.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. The long exact sequence on homotopy groups induced by the  $p$ -completed linearization/cyclotomic trace square breaks up into non-canonically split short exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_* K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \pi_* TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \oplus \pi_* K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \pi_* TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow 0.$$

Choosing appropriate splittings in the previous theorem, we can identify the  $p$ -torsion groups. The identification is again in terms of  $\pi_* \mathbb{S}$  and  $\pi_* \Sigma \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty$  but now involves also  $\pi_* K(\mathbb{Z})$ , which is not fully understood at irregular primes. In the statement,  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  denotes the wedge summand of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  complementary to  $j$  [9, 2.1.9.7],  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \simeq j \vee \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $j$  is the  $p$ -complete additive image of  $J$  spectrum, the connective cover of  $L_{K(1)}\mathbb{S}$ . (As discussed below, this splitting is canonical.)

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. The  $p$ -torsion in  $\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})$  admits canonical isomorphisms*

$$(a) \quad \operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})) \cong \operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*c \oplus \pi_{*-1}c \oplus \pi_{*-1}\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \oplus \pi_*K(\mathbb{Z}))$$

$$(b) \quad \cong \operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*\mathbb{S} \oplus \pi_{*-1}c \oplus \pi_{*-1}\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \oplus \pi_*\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})).$$

In Formula (a), the map  $\operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})) \rightarrow \operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*(K(\mathbb{Z})))$  is induced by the linearization map and the map

$$\operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})) \longrightarrow \operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*c \oplus \pi_{*-1}c \oplus \pi_{*-1}\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)$$

is induced by the composite of the cyclotomic trace map  $K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{S})$  and a canonical splitting (2.3) of the homotopy groups  $\pi_*TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  as

$$\pi_*TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \cong \pi_*(j) \oplus \pi_*(c) \oplus \pi_*(\Sigma j) \oplus \pi_*(\Sigma c) \oplus \pi_*(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)$$

explained in the first part of Section 2, followed by the projection onto the non- $j$  summands.

In formula (b), the map  $\operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})) \rightarrow \operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}))$  is induced by the linearization map and the canonical map  $\pi_*K(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_*\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  which is the quotient of the Harris-Segal summand. The map

$$\operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})) \longrightarrow \operatorname{tor}_p(\pi_*\mathbb{S} \oplus \pi_{*-1}c \oplus \pi_{*-1}\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)$$

is induced by the composite of the cyclotomic trace map  $K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{S})$  and the canonical splitting of homotopy groups

$$\pi_*TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \cong \pi_*(\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge) \oplus \pi_*(\Sigma j) \oplus \pi_*(\Sigma c) \oplus \pi_*(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)$$

followed by projection onto the non- $j$  summands. (The splitting of  $\pi_*TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  here is related to the splitting above by the canonical splitting on homotopy groups  $\pi_*\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \cong \pi_*(j) \oplus \pi_*(c)$ .)

Formula (b) generalizes the computation of Rognes [27] at odd regular primes because  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  is torsion free if (and only if)  $p$  is regular (see for example [33, §VI.10]). Part of the argument for the theorems above involves making certain splittings in prior  $K$ -theory and  $TC$  computations canonical and canonically identifying certain maps (or at least their effect on homotopy groups). Although we construct the splittings and prove their essential uniqueness computationally, we offer in Section 5 a theoretical explanation in terms of a conjectural extension of Adams operations on algebraic  $K$ -theory to an action of the  $p$ -adic units and a conjecture on the consistency of Adams operations on  $K$ -theory and Adams operations on  $TC$ . This perspective leads to a new splitting of  $K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  and the linearization/cyclotomic trace square into  $p - 1$  summands (which is independent of the conjectures); see Theorem 5.1.

The identification of the maps in Section 3 allows us to prove the following theorem, which slightly sharpens Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Let  $\alpha: \pi_*TC(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \pi_*j$  be the induced map on homotopy groups given by the composite of the canonical maps  $TC(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow THH(\mathbb{S}) \simeq \mathbb{S}$  and  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow j$ ; let  $\beta: \pi_*K(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_*j$  be the canonical splitting of the Harris-Segal summand; and let  $\gamma: \pi_*TC(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \pi_*\Sigma\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \pi_*\Sigma j$  be the map induced by the canonical splitting of (2.2) below. Then the  $p$ -torsion subgroup of  $\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})$  maps isomorphically to the subgroup of the  $p$ -torsion subgroup of  $\pi_*TC(\mathbb{S}) \oplus \pi_*K(\mathbb{Z})$  where the appropriate projections composed with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  agree and the appropriate projection composed with  $\gamma$  is zero.*

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 provide a good understanding of what the linearization/cyclotomic trace square does on the odd torsion part of the homotopy groups. In contrast, the maps on mod torsion homotopy groups are not fully understood. We have that  $\pi_n K(\mathbb{S})$  and  $\pi_n K(\mathbb{Z})$  mod torsion are rank one for  $n = 0$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n > 1$ ; the map  $K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z})$  is a rational equivalence and an isomorphism in degree zero, but is not an isomorphism on mod torsion homotopy groups in degrees congruent to  $1 \pmod{2(p-1)}$  by the work of Klein-Rognes (see the proof of [14, 6.3.(i)]). The mod torsion homotopy groups of  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  are rank one in degree zero and odd degrees  $\geq -1$ ; the map  $K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  on mod torsion homotopy groups is an isomorphism in degree zero, by necessity zero in degrees not congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$ , and for odd regular primes an isomorphism in degrees congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$ . For irregular primes, the map is not fully understood.

In principle, we can use Theorem 1.2 to calculate  $\pi_* K(\mathbb{S})$  in low degrees. In practice, we are limited by a lack of understanding of  $\pi_* \mathbb{C}P_1^\infty$  and  $\pi_* K(\mathbb{Z})$ ; we know  $\pi_* \mathbb{S}$  and  $\pi_* c$  in a comparatively larger range. The calculations of  $\pi_* \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_1^\infty$  for  $* < |\beta_2| = (2p+1)(2p-2) - 3$  in [27, 4.7] work for irregular primes as well as odd regular primes, though they only give the order of the torsion rather than the torsion group. At primes that satisfy the Kummer-Vandiver conjecture, we know  $\pi_* K(\mathbb{Z})$  in terms of Bernoulli numbers; at other primes we know  $\pi_* K(\mathbb{Z})$  in odd degrees, but do not know  $K_{4n}\mathbb{Z}$  at all (except  $n = 0, 1$ ) and only know the order of  $\pi_{4n+2} K(\mathbb{Z})$  and again only in terms of Bernoulli numbers. As the formula [27, 4.7] for  $\pi_* \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_1^\infty$  is somewhat messy, we do not summarize the answer here, but for convenience, we have included it in Section 6.

The authors observed in [3] that as a consequence of the work of Rognes [27], the cyclotomic trace

$$trc_p: K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$$

is injective on homotopy groups at odd regular primes. In Theorem 1.2 above, the contribution in  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_* K(\mathbb{S}))$  of  $p$ -torsion from  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_* \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}))$  maps to zero in  $\pi_* TC(\mathbb{S})$  under the cyclotomic trace. This then gives the following complete answer to the question the authors posed in [3]:

**Corollary 1.4.** *For an odd prime  $p$ , the cyclotomic trace  $trc_p: K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  is injective on homotopy groups if and only if  $p$  is regular.*

Theorem 1.1 contains the following more general injectivity result.

**Corollary 1.5.** *For an odd prime  $p$ , the map of ring spectra*

$$K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \times K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$$

*is injective on homotopy groups.*

**Conventions.** Throughout this paper  $p$  denotes an odd prime. For a ring  $R$ , we write  $R^\times$  for its group of units.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors especially thank Lars Hesselholt for many helpful conversations and for comments on a previous draft that led to substantial sharpening of the results. The authors also thank Mark Behrens, Bill Dwyer, Tom Goodwillie, John Greenlees, Mike Hopkins, Tyler Lawson, and Birgit Richter for helpful conversations, John Rognes for useful comments, and the IMA and MSRI for their hospitality while some of this work was done.

## 2. THE SPECTRA IN THE LINEARIZATION/CYCLOTOMIC TRACE SQUARE

We begin by reviewing the descriptions of the spectra  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ ,  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , and  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  in the  $p$ -completed linearization/cyclotomic trace square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge & \longrightarrow & TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge & \longrightarrow & TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge. \end{array}$$

These three spectra have been identified in more familiar terms up to weak equivalence. We discuss how canonical these weak equivalences are and what choices parametrize them. Often this will involve studying splittings of the form  $X \simeq Y \vee Z$  (or with additional summands). We will say that the *splitting is canonical* when we have a canonical isomorphism in the stable category  $X \simeq X' \vee X''$  with  $X'$  and  $X''$  (possibly non-canonically) isomorphic in the stable category to  $Y$  and  $Z$ ; we will say that *the identification of the summand  $Y$  is canonical* when further the isomorphism in the stable category  $X' \simeq Y$  is canonical.

To illustrate the above terminology, and justify its utility, consider the example when  $X$  is non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $Y \vee Z$  and  $[Y, Z] = 0 = [Z, Y]$  (where  $[-, -]$  denotes maps in the stable category). In the terminology above, this gives an example of a canonical splitting  $X \simeq Y \vee Z$  without canonical identification of the summands. As another example, if we have a canonical map  $Y \rightarrow X$  and a canonical map  $X \rightarrow Y$  giving a retraction, then we have a canonical splitting with canonical identification of summands  $X \simeq Y \vee F$  where  $F$  is the homotopy fiber of the retraction map  $X \rightarrow Y$ . In this second example if we also have a non-canonical weak equivalence  $Z \rightarrow F$ , we then have a canonical splitting  $X \simeq Y \vee Z$  with a canonical identification of the summand  $Y$  (but not the summand  $Z$ ).

**The splitting of  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ .** Historically,  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  was the first of the terms in the linearization/cyclotomic trace square to be understood. Work of Bökstedt-Hsiang-Madsen [4, 5.15, 5.17] identifies the homotopy type of  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  as

$$(2.1) \quad TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \simeq \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \vee \Sigma(\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge.$$

The inclusion of the  $\mathbb{S}$  summand is the unit of the ring spectrum structure and is split by the canonical map  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow THH(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  and canonical identification  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \simeq THH(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  (also induced by the ring spectrum structure). We therefore get a canonical isomorphism in the stable category between  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  and  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \vee F$  where  $F$  is the homotopy fiber of the map  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow THH(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ ; in the terminology at the beginning of the section, this is a canonical splitting with canonical identification of the  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$  summand. The identification of the other summand as  $\Sigma(\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge$  is potentially somewhat non-canonical with indeterminacy parametrized by a  $\lim^1$  term; however, all maps in this class induce the same splitting on homotopy groups

$$\pi_* TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \cong \pi_* \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \oplus \pi_*(\Sigma(\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge),$$

which is then canonical. In more detail, the homotopy fiber of  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$  may be identified up to weak equivalence as the homotopy fiber

$$\mathrm{holim} \Sigma_+^\infty BC_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$$

(where  $C_{p^n}$  denotes the cyclic group of order  $p^n$ ) with the homotopy limit taken over the transfer maps, and the maps  $\Sigma_+^\infty BC_p \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$  also the transfer map. It is possible that the system of comparison maps with  $BC_{p^n}$  can be made rigid enough to specify a canonical weak equivalence, but without more work we have the  $\lim^1$  indeterminacy indicated above. (Recent results of Reich and Varisco [22] on a point-set model for the Adams isomorphism may help here.) We then have a canonical weak equivalence

$$(\Sigma\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbb{C}P^\infty)_p^\wedge \cong (\Sigma\Sigma_+^\infty B\mathbb{T})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \text{holim } \Sigma_+^\infty BC_{p^n}$$

(where  $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the circle group) and a canonical isomorphism in the stable category from  $\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty$  to the homotopy fiber of the  $\mathbb{T}$ -transfer  $\Sigma\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbb{C}P^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  [17, §3].

As already indicated, we make use of a further splitting from [27, §3] (see also the remarks preceding [17, (1.3)]),

$$(2.2) \quad (\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge \simeq \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \vee \overline{\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty},$$

induced by the splitting  $\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq \Sigma^\infty \mathbb{C}P^\infty \vee \mathbb{S}$ . The splitting exists after inverting 2, but for notational convenience, we use it only after  $p$ -completion. As the splitting and identification of summands for  $\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  is canonical, and the null homotopy of the composite map

$$\Sigma\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \longrightarrow (\Sigma\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$$

is canonical, the splitting in (2.2) and identification of the summand  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$  is canonical.

Finally, we have a canonical isomorphism of homotopy groups  $\pi_*\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \cong \pi_*(j) \oplus \pi_*(c)$  from classical work in homotopy theory on Whitehead's  $J$  homomorphism and Bousfield's work on localization of spectra [6, §4]. As above,  $j$  denotes the connective cover of the  $K(1)$ -localization of the sphere spectrum and  $c$  denotes the homotopy fiber of the map  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow j$ . The map  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow j$  induces an isomorphism from the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of the image of  $J$  subgroup of  $\pi_q\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$  to  $\pi_q j$ .

Putting this all together, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad \pi_*TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \cong \pi_*(j) \oplus \pi_*(c) \oplus \pi_*(\Sigma j) \oplus \pi_*(\Sigma c) \oplus \pi_*(\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty}).$$

**The splitting of  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ .** Next up historically is  $TC(\mathbb{Z})$ , which was first identified by Bökstedt-Madsen [5] and Rognes [25]. They expressed the answer on the infinite-loop space level and (equivalently) described the connective cover spectrum  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge[0, \infty)$  as having the homotopy type of

$$j \vee \Sigma j \vee \Sigma^3 ku_p^\wedge \simeq j \vee \Sigma j \vee \Sigma^3 \ell \vee \Sigma^5 \ell \vee \dots \vee \Sigma^{3+2(p-2)} \ell$$

(non-canonical isomorphism in the stable category). Here  $ku$  denotes connective complex topological  $K$ -theory (the connective cover of periodic complex topological  $K$ -theory  $KU$ ), and  $\ell$  denotes the Adams summand of  $ku_p^\wedge$  (the connective cover of the Adams summand  $L$  of  $KU_p^\wedge$ ). A standard calculation (e.g., see [16, 2.5.7]) shows that before taking the connective cover  $\pi_{-1}(TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge)$  is free of rank one over  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$ , and the argument of [27, 3.3] shows that the summand  $\Sigma^{3+2(p-3)}\ell$  above becomes  $\Sigma^{-1}\ell$  in  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ .

The non-canonical splitting above rigidifies into a canonical splitting and canonical identification

$$(2.4) \quad TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \simeq j \vee \Sigma j' \vee \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(0) \vee \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(p) \vee \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(2) \vee \dots \vee \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(p-2).$$

Here the numbering replaces 1 with  $p$  but otherwise numbers sequentially  $0, \dots, p-2$ . Each  $\Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(i)$  is a spectrum that is non-canonically weakly equivalent to

$\Sigma^{2i-1}\ell \cong \Sigma^{2i}(\Sigma^{-1}\ell)$  but that admits a canonical description by work of Hesselholt-Madsen [12, Theorem D] and Dwyer-Mitchell [9, §13] in terms of units of cyclotomic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge$ ; we omit a detailed description of the identification as the specifics do not come into the argument. The spectrum  $j'$  is the connective cover of the  $K(1)$ -localization of the  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times$ -Moore spectrum  $M_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times}$ , or equivalently, the  $p$ -completion of  $j \wedge M_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times}$ . Since the  $p$ -completion of  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times$  is non-canonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$ , we have that  $j'$  is non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $j$  but with  $\pi_0 j'$  canonically isomorphic to  $((\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times)_p^\wedge$  (and isomorphisms in the stable category from  $j$  to  $j'$  are in canonical bijection with isomorphisms  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge \rightarrow ((\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times)_p^\wedge$ ). Much of the canonical splitting in (2.4) follows by a calculation of maps in the stable category. Temporarily writing  $x(0) = j \vee \Sigma^{-1}\ell$ ,  $x(1) = \Sigma j \vee \Sigma^{2p-1}\ell$ , and  $x(i) = \Sigma^{2i-1}\ell$  for  $i = 2, \dots, p-2$ , the results above give us a canonical splitting without canonical identification (see the start of this section for an explanation of this terminology)

$$TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \simeq x(0) \vee \dots \vee x(p-2)$$

because for  $i \neq i'$ , we have  $[x(i), x(i')] = 0$ ; we provide a detailed computation as Proposition 2.13 at the end of the section. The canonical map  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow j$  induces an isomorphism  $[j, TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge] \cong \pi_0(TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge)$  and so we have a canonical map  $\eta: j \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  coming from the unit of the ring spectrum structure. Likewise, the canonical map  $M_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times} \rightarrow j'$  induces an isomorphism

$$[\Sigma j', TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge] \cong [\Sigma M_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times}, TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge] \cong \text{Hom}(((\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times)_p^\wedge, \pi_1 TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge).$$

The canonical isomorphism  $\pi_1 TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \cong ((\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times)_p^\wedge$  [12, Th. D], then gives a canonical map  $u: \Sigma j' \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ . The restriction along  $\eta$  and  $u$  induce bijections

$$[TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge, j] \cong [j, j] \quad \text{and} \quad [TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge, \Sigma j'] \cong [\Sigma j', \Sigma j']$$

(q.v. Proposition 2.14 below), giving retractions to  $\eta$  and  $u$  that are unique in the stable category. This gives a canonical splitting and identification of the  $j$  and  $\Sigma j'$  summands in (2.4).

**The splitting of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ .** The homotopy type of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  is still not fully understood at irregular primes even in light of the confirmation of the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture. The Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture implies that  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  can be understood in terms of its  $K(1)$ -localization  $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z})$ , and work of Dwyer-Friedlander [10] or Dwyer-Mitchell [9, 12.2] identifies the homotopy type of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  at regular primes as  $j \vee \Sigma^5 k o_p^\wedge$  (non-canonically). At any prime, Quillen's Brauer induction and reduction mod  $r$  (for  $r$  prime a generator of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2)^\times$  as above) induce a splitting

$$(2.5) \quad K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \simeq j \vee \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$$

for some  $p$ -complete spectrum we denote as  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  (see for example, [9, 2.1,5.4,9.7]). We argue in Proposition 2.16 at the end of this section (once we have reviewed more about  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ ) that  $[j, \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})] = 0$  and  $[\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}), j] = 0$ , and it follows that the splitting in (2.5) is canonical; the identification of the summand  $j$  is also canonical, as the map  $j \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z})$  described is then the unique one taking the canonical generator of  $\pi_0 j$  to the unit element of  $\pi_0 K(\mathbb{Z})$  in the ring spectrum structure.

The splitting  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \simeq j \vee \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  corresponds to a splitting

$$L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq J \vee L_{K(1)}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$$

where  $J = L_{K(1)}\mathbb{S}$  is the  $K(1)$ -localization of  $\mathbb{S}$ . We have that  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  is 4-connected and the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture (as reformulated by Waldhausen [31, §4]) implies that  $K(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism on homotopy groups in degrees 2 and above. Thus,  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  is the 4-connected cover of  $L_{K(1)}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ . This makes it straightforward to convert statements about the homotopy type of  $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z})$  into statements about the homotopy type of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ .

By [9, 1.7],  $L_{K(1)}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a  $KU_p^\wedge$ -theory Moore spectrum of type  $(M, -1)$ , meaning that its  $KU_p^\wedge$ -cohomology is concentrated in odd degrees and is projective dimension 1 over the ring  $(KU_p^\wedge)^0(KU_p^\wedge)$ . In particular, it is a  $E(1)_*$ -Moore spectrum in the sense of Bousfield [7] and so canonically splits as

$$L_{K(1)}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq Y_0 \vee \cdots \vee Y_{p-2}$$

where  $\pi_*Y_i = 0$  unless  $*$  is congruent to  $2i$  or  $2i+1 \pmod{2(p-1)}$ , or equivalently  $L^*(Y_i) = 0$  for  $*$  not congruent to  $2i+1 \pmod{2(p-1)}$  (where as above  $L$  denotes the Adams summand of  $KU_p^\wedge$ , or equivalently, in terms of [7], the  $p$ -completion of  $E(1)$ ). Here  $[Y_i, Y_{i'}] = 0$  unless  $i = i'$ . Furthermore,  $Y_i$  is completely determined by  $L^*Y_i$ :  $Y_i$  is the fiber of a map from a finite wedge of copies of  $\Sigma^{2i+1}L$  to a finite wedge of copies of  $\Sigma^{2i+1}L$ , giving a  $L^0L$ -resolution of the projective dimension 1 module  $L^{2i+1}Y_i$  after applying  $L^{2i+1}$ .

Letting  $y_i$  be the 4-connected cover of  $Y_i$ , we show below in Proposition 2.17 that  $[y_i, y_{i'}] = 0$  for  $i \neq i'$  and so obtain a canonical splitting and canonical identification of summands

$$(2.6) \quad K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \simeq j \vee y_0 \vee \cdots \vee y_{p-2}.$$

The  $L^0L$ -modules  $L^{2i+1}Y_i$  have a close relationship to class groups of cyclotomic fields. Writing  $A_m$  for the  $p$ -Sylow group of the class group of the integers of the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{m+1}})$ , where  $\zeta_{p^{m+1}}$  is a primitive  $p^{m+1}$ th root of unity, the relevant object is the inverse limit of  $A_m$  over the norm maps. This inverse limit turns out to be a  $(KU_p^\wedge)^0(KU_p^\wedge)$ -module by a mathematical pun explained in [9, §4, §6] and is denoted there by  $A_\infty$  (which in the case we are discussing is also isomorphic to the modules they denote as  $L_\infty$  and  $A'_\infty$ , q.v. *ibid.*, 12.2). Any  $(KU_p^\wedge)^0(KU_p^\wedge)$ -module  $X$  has a canonical ‘‘eigensplitting’’ into a direct sum of pieces corresponding to the powers of the Teichmüller character: The  $\omega^i$ -character piece  $\epsilon_i X$  is the submodule where the ( $p$ -adically interpolated) Adams operation  $\psi^{\omega(\alpha)}$  acts by multiplication by  $\omega^i(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$  for all  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ . We then regard  $\epsilon_i X$  as a  $L^0L$ -module via the projection

$$(KU_p^\wedge)^0(KU_p^\wedge) \longrightarrow (\Sigma^{2i}L)^0(\Sigma^{2i}L) \cong L^0L$$

induced by the splitting  $\Sigma^{2i}L \rightarrow KU_p^\wedge \rightarrow \Sigma^{2i}L$ . The precise relationship between  $L^{2i+1}(Y_i)$  and  $A_\infty$  is given concisely by the 4-term exact sequence of [9, 12.1], which after eigensplitting becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{L^0L}(\epsilon_{-i}A_\infty, L^0L) \longrightarrow L^{2i+1}Y_i \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{L^0L}(\epsilon_{-i}E'_\infty(\mathrm{red}), L^0L) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2(\epsilon_{-i}A_\infty, L^0L) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here  $\mathrm{Hom}_{L^0L}(\epsilon_{-i}E'_\infty(\mathrm{red}), L^0L)$  is zero when  $i$  is odd and a free  $L^0L$ -module of rank 1 when  $i$  is even.

For fixed  $p$ , several of the  $\omega^j$ -character pieces of  $A_\infty$  are always zero. In fact  $\epsilon_j A_\infty = 0$  if and only if  $\epsilon_j A_0 = 0$  (see for example [32, 13.22] and apply Nakayama’s

lemma). In particular, for the trivial character,  $\epsilon_0 A_0 = 0$  (because it is canonically isomorphic to the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of the class group of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). From the exact sequence above,  $L^1 Y_0 \cong L^0 L$  (non-canonically) and so  $Y_0$  is non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $\Sigma L$ . It follows that  $y_0$  is non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $\Sigma^{1+2(p-1)} \ell$ . In terms of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , we obtain a further canonical splitting (without canonical identification)  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Sigma^{2p-1} \ell \vee \tilde{K}^\#(\mathbb{Z})$  for some  $p$ -complete spectrum  $\tilde{K}^\#(\mathbb{Z})$ . We use the identification of  $y_0$  as a key step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

Another useful vanishing result is  $\epsilon_1 A_0 = 0$  [32, 6.16]. As a consequence, since  $\omega^{-1} = \omega^{p-2}$ , we see that

$$(2.7) \quad Y_{p-2} \simeq *.$$

This simplifies some formulas and arguments.

Although these are the only results we use, other vanishing results for  $\epsilon_j A$  give other vanishing results for the summands  $Y_i$ . Herbrant's Theorem [32, 6.17] and Ribet's Converse [23], [32, 15.8] state that for  $3 \leq j \leq p-2$  odd,  $\epsilon_j A_0 \neq 0$  if and only if  $p \mid B_{p-j}$  where  $B_n$  denotes the Bernoulli number, numbered by the convention  $\frac{t}{e^t-1} = \sum B_n \frac{t^n}{n!}$ . Using  $\omega^{-j} = \omega^{p-1-j}$  and  $i = p-1-j$ , we see that for  $p-4 \geq i \geq 1$  odd,  $Y_i \simeq *$  when  $p$  does not divide  $B_{i+1}$ . In particular,  $Y_1, Y_3, Y_5, Y_7$ , and  $Y_9$  are trivial,  $Y_{11}$  is trivial for  $p \neq 691$ , and for every odd  $i$ ,  $Y_i$  is only nontrivial for finitely many primes.

A prime  $p$  is regular precisely when  $p$  does not divide the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ , or in other words, when  $A_0 = 0$  (and therefore  $A_\infty = 0$ ). Then for an odd regular prime, we have that  $Y_{2k}$  is non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $\Sigma^{4k+1} L$  and  $Y_{2k+1}$  is trivial for all  $k$ . It follows that  $L_{K(1)} \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  is non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $\Sigma K O_p^\wedge$  and  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  is non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $\Sigma^5 k o_p^\wedge$ , since  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  is the 4-connected cover of  $L_{K(1)} \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ . This leads precisely to the description of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  as non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $j \vee \Sigma^5 k o_p^\wedge$ , as indicated above.

A prime  $p$  satisfies the Kummer-Vandiver condition precisely when  $p$  does not divide the class number of the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$  (the fixed field of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  under complex conjugation). The  $p$ -Sylow subgroup is precisely the subgroup of  $A_0$  fixed by complex conjugation, which is the internal direct sum of  $\epsilon_i A_0$  for  $0 \leq i < p-1$  even. It follows that  $\epsilon_i A_0 = 0$  for  $i$  even, and so again  $Y_{2k}$  is non-canonically weakly equivalent to  $\Sigma^{4k+1} L$ . Now the odd summands  $Y_{2k+1}$  may be non-zero, but the  $L^0 L$ -modules  $\epsilon_i A_\infty$  are cyclic for  $i$  odd (see for example, [32, 10.16]) and  $Y_{2k+1}$  is (non-canonically) weakly equivalent to the homotopy fiber of a map  $\Sigma^{4k+3} L \rightarrow \Sigma^{4k+3} L$  determined by the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $L_p(s; \omega^{2k+2})$  [9, 12.2]. As above,  $Y_{p-2} \simeq *$  and in the other cases, for  $n > 0$ ,  $n \equiv 2k+1 \pmod{p-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{2n} Y_{2k+1} &\cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge / L_p(-n, \omega^{2k+2}) = \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge / (B_{n+1} / (n+1)) \\ \pi_{2n+1} Y_{2k+1} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

(non-canonical isomorphisms). The groups are of course zero for  $n \not\equiv 2k+1 \pmod{p-1}$ . (For  $n < 0$ ,  $n \equiv 2k+1 \pmod{p-1}$ , the  $L$ -function formula for  $\pi_{2n} Y_{2k+1}$  still holds, and  $\pi_{2n+1} Y_{2k+1} = 0$  still holds provided the value of the  $L$ -function is non-zero. If the value of the  $L$ -function is zero, then  $\pi_{2n+1} Y_{2k+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$ , though it is conjectured [28, 15] that this case never occurs.)

For  $p$  not satisfying the Kummer-Vandiver condition, the even summands satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{2n}Y_{2k} &= \text{finite} \\ \pi_{2n+1}Y_{2k} &\cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge\end{aligned}$$

(non-canonical isomorphism) for  $n \equiv 2k \pmod{p-1}$  (and zero otherwise) with the finite group unknown. As always  $Y_{p-2} \simeq *$ , and the Mazur-Wiles theorem [18], [32, 15.14] implies that in the other odd cases  $2k+1$ , for  $n > 0$ ,  $n \equiv 2k+1 \pmod{p-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}\#(\pi_{2n}Y_{2k+1}) &= \#(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge/(B_{n+1}/(n+1))) \\ \pi_{2n+1}Y_{2k+1} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

(and zero for  $n \not\equiv 2k+1 \pmod{p-1}$ ) although the precise group in the first case is unknown. (In this case, for  $n < 0$ ,  $n \equiv 2k+1 \pmod{p-1}$ , it is known that  $\#(\pi_{2n}Y_{2k+1}) = \#(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge/L_p(-n, \omega^{2k+2}))$  and  $\pi_{2n+1}Y_{2k+1} = 0$ , provided  $L_p(-n, \omega^{2k+2})$  is non-zero. If  $L_p(-n, \omega^{2k+2}) = 0$ , then  $\pi_{2n}Y_{2k+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge \oplus \text{finite}$  and  $\pi_{2n+1}Y_{2k+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$ , non-canonically.) For more on the homotopy groups of  $K(\mathbb{Z})$ , see for example [33, §VI.10].

**Supporting calculations.** In several places above, we claimed (implicitly or explicitly) that certain hom sets in the stable category were zero. Here we review some calculations and justify these claims. All of these computations follow from well-known facts about the spectrum  $L$  together with standard facts about maps in the stable category. In particular, in several places, we make use of the fact that for a  $K(1)$ -local spectrum  $Z$ , the localization map  $X \rightarrow L_{K(1)}X$  induces an isomorphism  $[L_{K(1)}X, Z] \rightarrow [X, Z]$ ; also, several times we make use of the fact that if  $X$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected, then the  $(n-1)$ -connected cover map  $Z[n, \infty) \rightarrow Z$  induces an isomorphism  $[X, Z[n, \infty)] \rightarrow [X, Z]$ . We begin with results on  $[\ell, \Sigma^q \ell]$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** The map  $[\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \rightarrow [\ell, \Sigma^q L] \cong [L, \Sigma^q L]$  is an injection for  $q \leq 2(2p-2)$ . In particular,  $[\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] = 0$  if  $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2p-2}$  and  $q < 2(2p-2)$ .

*Proof.* We have a cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma^{q-1-(2p-2)} H\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge \rightarrow \Sigma^q \ell \rightarrow \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} \ell \rightarrow \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} H\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge,$$

and a corresponding long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow [\ell, \Sigma^{q-1-(2p-2)} H\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge] \rightarrow [\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \rightarrow [\ell, \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} \ell] \rightarrow \dots$$

First we note that the map  $[\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \rightarrow [\ell, \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} \ell]$  is injective for  $q \leq 2(2p-2)$ : When  $q \neq (2p-2) + 1$  this follows from the fact that

$$[\ell, \Sigma^{q-1-(2p-2)} H\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge] = H^{q-1-(2p-2)}(\ell; \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge) = 0$$

for  $q-1-(2p-2) < (2p-2)$  unless  $q-1-(2p-2) = 0$ . In the case  $q = (2p-2) + 1$ , the image of  $[\ell, \Sigma^0 H\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge]$  in  $[\ell, \Sigma^q \ell]$  in the long exact sequence is still zero because the map  $[\ell, \ell] \rightarrow [\ell, H\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$  is surjective. Now when  $q - (2p-2) < 2p-2$ ,

$$[\ell, \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} \ell] \cong [\ell, \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} L] \cong [L, \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} L]$$

since then  $\Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} \ell \rightarrow \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} L$  is a weak equivalence on connective covers. For the remaining case  $q = 2(2p-2)$ , we have seen that the map  $[\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \rightarrow [\ell, \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} \ell]$  is an injection and the map  $[\ell, \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} \ell] \rightarrow [\ell, \Sigma^{q-(2p-2)} L]$  is an injection.  $\square$

Next, using the cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma^{-1}\ell \longrightarrow \Sigma^{(2p-2)^{-1}}\ell \longrightarrow j \longrightarrow \ell$$

and applying the previous result, we obtain the following calculation.

**Proposition 2.9.**  $[j, \Sigma^q \ell] = 0$  if  $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2p-2}$  and  $q < 2(2p-2)$ .

*Proof.* Looking at the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow [\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \longrightarrow [j, \Sigma^q \ell] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^{(2p-2)^{-1}}\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^{-1}\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and using the isomorphism  $[\Sigma^{(2p-2)^{-1}}\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \cong [\ell, \Sigma^{q+1-(2p-2)}\ell]$  we have that both  $[\ell, \Sigma^q \ell]$  and  $[\Sigma^{(2p-2)^{-1}}\ell, \Sigma^q \ell]$  are 0 when  $q \not\equiv 0, -1 \pmod{2p-2}$  and  $q \leq 2(2p-2)$ . In the case when  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{2p-2}$ , using also the isomorphism  $[\Sigma^{-1}\ell, \Sigma^q \ell] \cong [\ell, \Sigma^{q+1}\ell]$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\ell, \Sigma^{q+1-(2p-2)}\ell] & \longrightarrow & [\ell, \Sigma^{q+1}\ell] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [L, \Sigma^{q+1-(2p-2)}L] & \xrightarrow{\text{feathered}} & [L, \Sigma^{q+1}L] \end{array}$$

where the feathered arrows are known to be injections. The statement now follows in this case as well.  $\square$

For maps the other way, we have the following result. The proof is similar to the proof of the previous proposition.

**Proposition 2.10.**  $[\Sigma^q \ell, j] = 0$  if  $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2p-2}$  and  $q \geq -(2p-2)$ .

We also have the following result for  $q = -1$ .

**Proposition 2.11.**  $[\Sigma^{-1}\ell, j] = 0$

*Proof.* Let  $j_{-1} = J[-1, \infty)$  where  $J = L_{K(1)}\mathbb{S} \simeq L_{K(1)}j$ . Then we have a cofiber sequence  $\Sigma^{-2}H\pi_{-1}J \rightarrow j \rightarrow j_{-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}H\pi_{-1}J$  and a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow [\Sigma^{-1}\ell, \Sigma^{-2}H\pi_{-1}J] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^{-1}\ell, j] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^{-1}\ell, j_{-1}] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^{-1}\ell, \Sigma^{-1}H\pi_{-1}J] \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Since  $\Sigma^{-1}\ell$  is  $(-2)$ -connected, the inclusion of  $j_{-1}$  in  $J$  induces a bijection

$$[\Sigma^{-1}\ell, j_{-1}] \longrightarrow [\Sigma^{-1}\ell, J] \cong [\Sigma^{-1}L, J].$$

It follows that a map  $\Sigma^{-1}\ell \rightarrow j_{-1}$  is determined by the map on  $\pi_{-1}$ , and therefore that the image of  $[\Sigma^{-1}\ell, j]$  in  $[\Sigma^{-1}\ell, j_{-1}]$  is zero. But  $H^{-2}(\Sigma^{-1}\ell; \pi_{-1}J) = 0$ , so  $[\Sigma^{-1}\ell, j] = 0$ .  $\square$

In the case of maps between suspensions of  $j$ , we only need to consider two cases:

**Proposition 2.12.**  $[j, \Sigma j] = 0$  and  $[\Sigma j, j] = 0$ .

*Proof.* As in the previous proof, we let  $j_{-1} = J[-1, \infty)$ , and we use the cofiber sequence  $\Sigma^{-1}H\pi_{-1}J \rightarrow \Sigma j \rightarrow \Sigma j_{-1} \rightarrow H\pi_{-1}J$  and the induced long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow [j, \Sigma^{-1}H\pi_{-1}J] \longrightarrow [j, \Sigma j] \longrightarrow [j, \Sigma j_{-1}] \longrightarrow [j, H\pi_{-1}J] \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Since the connective cover of  $\Sigma J$  is  $\Sigma j_{-1}$ , we have that the map  $[j, \Sigma j_{-1}] \rightarrow [j, \Sigma J]$  is a bijection, and the maps

$$[J, \Sigma J] \longrightarrow [j, \Sigma J] \longrightarrow [\mathbb{S}, \Sigma J] \cong \pi_{-1}J$$

are isomorphisms. It follows that the map  $[j, \Sigma j_{-1}] \rightarrow [j, H\pi_{-1}J]$  is an isomorphism. Since  $[j, \Sigma^{-1}H\pi_{-1}J] = 0$ , this proves  $[j, \Sigma j] = 0$ . For the other calculation, the map  $j \rightarrow J$  induces a weak equivalence of 1-connected covers, and the induced map

$$[\Sigma j, j] \longrightarrow [\Sigma j, J] \cong [\Sigma J, J] \cong [\Sigma \mathbb{S}, J] = \pi_1 J = 0$$

is a bijection.  $\square$

The following propositions are now clear.

**Proposition 2.13.** In the notation above, the summands  $x(i)$  of  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  satisfy  $[x(i), x(i')] = 0$  for  $i \neq i'$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** Let  $k = 0, 1$ . In the notation above,  $[x(i), \Sigma^k j] = 0$  for  $i \neq k$  and the inclusion of  $\Sigma^k j$  in  $x(k)$  induces a bijection  $[x(k), \Sigma^k j] \rightarrow [\Sigma^k j, \Sigma^k j]$ .

Eliminating the summands where maps out of  $j$  or  $\Sigma j$  are trivial, and looking at the connective and 0-connected covers of  $K(1)$ -localizations, we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.15.** The map  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow j$  induces isomorphisms  $[j, TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge] \cong \pi_0 TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  and  $[\Sigma j, TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge] \cong \pi_1 TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ .

For the summands of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , we first consider the splitting of  $j$ .

**Proposition 2.16.**  $[j, \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})] = 0$  and  $[\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}), j] = 0$

*Proof.* As indicated above  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq y_0 \vee \cdots \vee y_{p-2}$ . We have that  $y_0$  is (non-canonically) weakly equivalent to  $\Sigma^{2p-1}\ell$ , and applying Propositions 2.9, 2.10, we see that  $[j, y_0] = 0$  and  $[y_0, j] = 0$ . In addition,  $y_1 \simeq *$  and  $y_{p-2} \simeq *$ . For  $1 < i < p-2$ ,  $y_i$  is the cofiber of a map from a finite wedge of copies of  $\Sigma^{2i}\ell$  to a finite wedge of copies of  $\Sigma^{2i}\ell$ . Looking at the long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus [j, \Sigma^{2i-1}\ell] \longrightarrow [j, y_i] \longrightarrow \bigoplus [j, \Sigma^{2i}\ell] \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \prod [\Sigma^{2i}\ell, j] \longrightarrow [y_i, j] \longrightarrow \prod [\Sigma^{2i-1}\ell, j] \longrightarrow \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

we again see from Propositions 2.9 and 2.10 that  $[j, y_i] = 0$  and  $[y_i, j] = 0$ .  $\square$

Finally, Bousfield's work shows that (by construction) the spectra  $Y_i$  satisfy  $[Y_i, Y_{i'}] = 0$  for  $i \neq i'$ ; we now verify that the same holds for the covers  $y_i$ .

**Proposition 2.17.** In the notation above, the summands  $y_i$  of  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfy  $[y_i, y_{i'}] = 0$  for  $i \neq i'$ .

*Proof.* Each  $y_i$  is the cofiber of a map from a finite wedge of copies of  $\Sigma^{2i}\ell$  to a finite wedge of copies of  $\Sigma^{2i}\ell$  except that  $y_0 \simeq \Sigma^{2p-1}\ell$  (non-canonically),  $y_1 \simeq *$ , and  $y_{p-2} \simeq *$ . First, for  $i \neq 0$ , looking at the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \prod [\Sigma^{2i}\ell, \Sigma^q\ell] \longrightarrow [y_i, \Sigma^q\ell] \longrightarrow \prod [\Sigma^{2i-1}\ell, \Sigma^q\ell] \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

we see from Proposition 2.8 that  $[y_i, \Sigma^q\ell] = 0$  when  $q \not\equiv 2i, 2i-1 \pmod{2p-2}$  and  $q \leq 2(2p-2) + 2i-1$ . In particular,  $[y_i, y_0] = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ . For  $i' \neq 0$ , looking at the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus [y_i, \Sigma^{2i'-1}\ell] \longrightarrow [y_i, y_{i'}] \longrightarrow \bigoplus [y_i, \Sigma^{2i'}\ell] \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

we see that  $[y_i, y_{i'}] = 0$  for  $i \neq i'$  in the remaining cases.  $\square$

## 3. THE MAPS IN THE LINEARIZATION/CYCLOTOMIC TRACE SQUARE

The previous section discussed the corners of the linearization/cyclotomic trace square; in this section, we discuss the edges. The main observation is that with respect to the canonical splittings of the previous section, the cyclotomic trace is diagonal and the linearization map is diagonal on the  $p$ -torsion part of the homotopy groups.

**Theorem 3.1.** *In terms of the splittings (2.4) and (2.6) of the previous section, the cyclotomic trace  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  splits as the wedge of the identity map  $j \rightarrow j$  and maps*

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &\longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(p) \\ y_1 &\longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(2) \\ &\vdots \\ y_{p-3} &\longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(p-2) \\ y_{p-2} &\longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(0). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have that each  $y_i$  fits in to a cofiber sequence of the form

$$\bigvee \Sigma^{2i}\ell \longrightarrow \bigvee \Sigma^{2i}\ell \longrightarrow y_i,$$

except in the case  $i = 0$  where the suspension is  $\Sigma^{2p-2}\ell$  rather than  $\Sigma^0\ell$  (and the case  $i = 1$ , where  $y_i = *$  anyway). Choosing a non-canonical weak equivalence  $\Sigma^{2q-1}\ell \simeq \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(q)$ , and looking at the long exact sequences of maps into  $\Sigma^{2q-1}\ell$ , Proposition 2.8 implies  $[y_i, \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(q)] = 0$  unless  $q - i \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ . Likewise,  $[j, \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(q)] = 0$  for all  $q$  by Proposition 2.9.  $\square$

Next, we turn to the linearization map.

**Theorem 3.2.** *In terms of the splittings (2.1), (2.2), and (2.4) of the previous section, the linearization map  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  admits factorizations as follows:*

- (i) *The map  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  factors through the canonical map  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow j$  and is a canonically split surjection on homotopy groups in all degrees.*
- (ii) *The map  $\Sigma\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  factors through a map  $\Sigma\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow \Sigma j'$  that is an isomorphism on  $\pi_1$  and is a split surjection on homotopy groups in all degrees.*
- (iii) *The map  $\Sigma\overline{CP}^\infty \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  factors through  $j \vee \bigvee \ell_{TC}(i)$ ; on  $p$ -torsion, the map  $\mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_*(\Sigma\overline{CP}^\infty)) \rightarrow \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_*(TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge))$  is zero.*

*Proof.* The statement (i) is clear from the construction of the map  $j \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  since the linearization map is a map of ring spectra. For (ii), the composite map  $\Sigma\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  is determined by where the generator goes in  $\pi_1 TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , but the inclusion of  $\Sigma j'$  in  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_1$ , and so  $\Sigma\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$  factors through  $\Sigma j'$ . The map  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  is a  $(2p-4)$ -equivalence because the map  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$  is  $(2p-3)$ -equivalence and hence induces a  $(2p-3)$ -equivalence

$$THH(\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge) \simeq THH(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow THH(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \simeq THH(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge).$$

The map  $\Sigma\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \Sigma j'$  is therefore an isomorphism on  $\pi_1$ . Using the image of the generator of  $\pi_1 \Sigma\mathbb{S}$  as a generator for  $\pi_1 \Sigma j'$  gives a weak equivalence  $\Sigma j \rightarrow \Sigma j'$

such that the map  $\Sigma\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \Sigma j' \rightarrow \Sigma j$  obtained by composing with the inverse is the suspension of the canonical map  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow j$ . This completes the proof of (ii).

To show the factorization of  $\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$  for (iii), it suffices to check that the composite map

$$\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \longrightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \Sigma j'$$

is trivial. For this we show that  $[\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty, \Sigma j'] = 0$ . Looking at the cofibration sequence

$$\Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \longrightarrow \Sigma(\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty)_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$$

since  $\pi_{-1}\Sigma j' = 0$ , the map  $[\Sigma(\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty)_p^\wedge, \Sigma j'] \rightarrow [\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty, \Sigma j']$  is surjective and so it suffices to show that

$$[\Sigma(\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty)_p^\wedge, \Sigma j'] \cong [(\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty)_p^\wedge, j'] \cong [(\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty)_p^\wedge, L_{K(1)}j'] \cong [L_{K(1)}\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty, L_{K(1)}j']$$

is zero. Ravenel [20, 9.2] identifies the  $K(1)$ -localization of  $\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  as an infinite wedge of copies of  $KU_p^\wedge$ . Since there are no essential maps  $KU_p^\wedge \rightarrow J$ , there are no essential maps  $\Sigma(\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty)_p^\wedge \rightarrow \Sigma j'$  and hence no essential maps from  $\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$  to  $\Sigma j'$ .

Finally, to see that the map  $\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  is zero on the torsion subgroup of  $\pi_*\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$ , it suffices to note that the composite map  $\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \rightarrow j$  is zero on the torsion subgroup of  $\pi_*\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$ , or equivalently that the composite map to  $J$  is zero on the torsion subgroup of  $\pi_*\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$ . The map  $\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \rightarrow J$  factors through  $L_{K(1)}\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$ , and again using the result on the  $K(1)$ -localization of  $\Sigma^\infty\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ , we have a cofibration sequence

$$\Sigma^{-1}J \longrightarrow L_{K(1)}\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \longrightarrow \bigvee \Sigma KU_p^\wedge \longrightarrow J.$$

The image of  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_*\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)$  in  $\pi_*L_{K(1)}\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$  can therefore only possibly be non-zero in even degrees, and hence maps to zero in  $\pi_*J$ . This completes the proof of (iii).  $\square$

It would be reasonable to expect that the augmentations  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge$  and  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \rightarrow j$  are compatible, although we see no  $K$ -theoretic,  $THH$ -theoretic, or calculational reasons why this should hold. Such a compatibility would imply that the map  $\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  factors through  $\bigvee \ell_{TC}(i)$  and would then (combined with the observations in Section 5) say that the linearization map is fully diagonal with respect to the splittings of the previous section.

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

We now apply the work of the previous two sections to prove the theorems stated in the introduction. We begin with Theorem 1.1, which is an immediate consequence of the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The map*

$$\pi_n TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \oplus \pi_n K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \pi_n TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$$

*is (non-canonically) split surjective.*

We apply the splittings of  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ ,  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , and  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  and the maps on homotopy groups to prove the previous theorem by breaking it into pieces and showing that different pieces in the splitting induce surjections on homotopy groups. Indeed

Theorem 3.2.(i) and (ii) give the first piece. For the next piece, we look at the map  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ . The following lemma is essentially due to Klein-Rognes [14]

**Lemma 4.2.** *Under the splittings (2.1), (2.2), and (2.4), the composite map*

$$\Sigma \overline{CP}_{-1}^\infty \longrightarrow TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(0) \vee \cdots \vee \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(p-2)$$

*induces a split surjection on  $\pi_{2q+1}$  for  $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$*

*Proof.* Klein and Rognes [14, 5.8, (17)] (and independently Madsen and Schlichtkrull [17, 1.1]) construct a space-level map

$$SU_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty(\Sigma CP_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge.$$

They study the composite map

$$(4.3) \quad SU_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty(\Sigma CP_{-1}^\infty)_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty(TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge) \longrightarrow SU_p^\wedge$$

induced by the linearization map  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , the projection map

$$TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge[0, \infty) \longrightarrow \Sigma^3 ku_p^\wedge,$$

and the Bott periodicity isomorphism  $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^3 ku \simeq SU$ . In [14, 6.3.(i)], Klein and Rognes show that their map (4.3) induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups in all degrees except those congruent to 1 mod  $2(p-1)$ . This proves the statement except in degree  $-1$ , where it follows from the fact that the linearization map  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  is a  $(2p-4)$ -equivalence.  $\square$

For the final piece, we need a split surjection onto  $\pi_* TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  in degrees congruent to 1 mod  $2(p-1)$ . We give a direct argument for the following lemma, but it can also be proved using the vanishing results in [3].

**Lemma 4.4.** *Under the splittings of (2.4) and (2.6), the composite map*

$$y_0 \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(p)$$

*is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* Since  $y_0$  and  $\Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(p)$  are both (non-canonically) weakly equivalent to  $\Sigma^{2p-1}\ell$ , it suffices to show that the map becomes a weak equivalence after  $K(1)$ -localization. Indeed, by  $v_1$  periodicity, it suffices to show that the map on  $K(1)$ -localizations is an isomorphism on any odd dimensional homotopy group. We have a canonical identification of  $\pi_1 L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z})$  with the  $p$ -completion of the unit group of  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$  by the homomorphism sending the generator 1 of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$  to  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times$ . We likewise have a canonical identification of  $\pi_1 L_{K(1)}TC(\mathbb{Z})$  with the  $p$ -completion of the unit group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge$  and the map  $\pi_1 L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_1 L_{K(1)}TC(\mathbb{Z})$  is the inclusion of  $(\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times)_p^\wedge$  in  $((\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)^\times)_p^\wedge$ . By construction, the inclusion of  $\Sigma j'$  in  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  corresponds to the inclusion of  $((\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times)_p^\wedge$  in  $((\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)^\times)_p^\wedge$ ; the quotient group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$  by the homomorphism sending the generator to  $p$  in  $((\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)^\times)_p^\wedge$ . The summand  $Y_0 = L_{K(1)}y_0$  of  $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z})$  is the only one that contributes to  $\pi_1 L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z})$ , and the summands  $L_{K(1)}\Sigma j' \vee L_{K(1)}\ell_{TC}(p)$  of  $L_{K(1)}TC(\mathbb{Z})$  are the only ones that contribute to  $\pi_1 L_{K(1)}TC(\mathbb{Z})$ , so we can identify the composite map

$$Y_0 = L_{K(1)}y_0 \longrightarrow L_{K(1)}TC(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow L_{K(1)}\ell_{TC}(p)$$

on  $\pi_1$  as the composite map  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)^\times / (\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times$ , which is an isomorphism.  $\square$

We now have everything we need for the proof of Theorem 4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Combining previous results, we have two families of (non-canonical) splitting

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(\mathbb{S} \vee \Sigma\mathbb{S} \vee \Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \vee j \vee y_0 \vee \cdots \vee y_{p-2}) \\ \longrightarrow \pi_*(j \vee \Sigma j' \vee \Sigma^{-1}\ell_{TC}(0) \vee \cdots \vee \ell_{TC}(p-2)). \end{aligned}$$

For both splittings we use Lemma 4.4 to split the  $\ell_{TC}(p)$  summand in the codomain (canonically) using the  $y_0$  summand of the domain, we use Theorem 3.2.(ii) to split the  $\pi_*\Sigma j'$  summand in the codomain (canonically) using the  $\Sigma\mathbb{S}^1$  summand in the domain, and we use Lemma 4.2 to split the

$$\pi_*(\ell_{TC}(0) \vee \ell_{TC}(2) \vee \cdots \vee \ell_{TC}(p-2))$$

summands in the codomain (non-canonically) using the  $\pi_*\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$  summand in the domain. We then have a choice on the remaining summand of the codomain,  $\pi_*j$ . We can use Theorem 3.1 to split this (canonically) using the  $\pi_*j$  summand in the domain or use Theorem 3.2 to split this (canonically) using the  $\pi_*\mathbb{S}$  summand in the domain.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. We now prove the remaining theorems from the introduction.

*Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.* Since the long exact sequence of the homotopy cartesian linearization/cyclotomic trace square breaks into split short exact sequences, we get split short exact sequences on  $p$ -torsion subgroups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n K(\mathbb{S})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \oplus \pi_n K(\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using the splittings of (2.1), (2.4), and (2.5), leaving out the non-torsion summands, we can identify  $\mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n K(\mathbb{S}))$  as the kernel of a map

$$\mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n \mathbb{S} \oplus \pi_{n-1} \mathbb{S} \oplus \pi_{n-1} \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty \oplus \pi_n j \oplus \pi_n \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n j \oplus \pi_{n-1} j)$$

which by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 is mostly diagonal: It is the direct sum of the canonical maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n \mathbb{S}) \oplus \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n j) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n j) \\ \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_{n-1} \mathbb{S}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_{n-1} j) \end{aligned}$$

and the zero maps on  $\mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_{n-1} \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)$  and  $\mathrm{tor}_p(\pi_n \tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Here we have used the image of the generator of  $\pi_1 TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  to produce the weak equivalence of  $\Sigma j'$  with  $\Sigma j$  (as in the proof of Theorem 3.2). The isomorphism (a) uses the canonical splitting  $\pi_n j \rightarrow \pi_n \mathbb{S}$  on the  $\pi_n \mathbb{S}$  summand, while the isomorphism (b) uses the identity of  $\pi_n j$  on the  $\pi_n j$  summand.  $\square$

## 5. CONJECTURE ON ADAMS OPERATIONS

In Section 2 we produced canonical splittings on  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  and  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  and in Section 3, we showed that the cyclotomic trace is diagonal with respect to these splittings. The purpose of this section is to prove the following splitting of the linearization/cyclotomic trace square and relate it to conjectures on Adams operations.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The spectrum  $K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  splits into  $p - 1$  summands,  $K(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \simeq \mathcal{K}_0 \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{K}_{p-2}$ , and the linearization/cyclotomic trace square splits into the wedge sum of  $p - 1$  homotopy cartesian squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_0 & \longrightarrow & j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \vee \Sigma \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[-1] & \longrightarrow & j \vee \Sigma^{-1} \ell_{TC}(0) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_1 & \longrightarrow & y_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[0] & \longrightarrow & \Sigma j \vee \Sigma^{-1} \ell_{TC}(p) \end{array}$$

for  $i = 0, 1$ , and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_i & \longrightarrow & y_{i-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[i-1] & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{-1} \ell_{TC}(i) \end{array}$$

for  $i = 2, \dots, p - 2$ .

We are using the notation from Section 2 for the summands  $y_i$  and  $\ell_{TC}(i)$  of  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  and  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ . The spectra  $\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[i]$  are the wedge summands of the ‘‘Adams splitting’’ previously used by Rognes [27, §5]

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty \simeq \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[-1] \vee \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[0] \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[p-3].$$

Here we are following the numbering of Rognes [27, p. 169], which has its rationale in that the  $[i]$  piece has its ordinary cohomology concentrated in degrees  $2i \bmod 2p - 2$  and starts in degree  $2i$ . Note that the splitting of the theorem fails to be canonical because of the  $\lim^1$  problem in the identification of  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  as  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \vee \Sigma \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty$ .

In the theorem, for the  $i = 0$  square, we have used that  $y_{p-2} = *$  as noted in Section 2. In using these squares to study the homotopy type of  $\mathcal{K}_i$ , we can simplify the  $i = 0$  square to a cofiber sequence

$$\mathcal{K}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \vee \Sigma \mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[-1] \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1} \ell_{TC}(0) \longrightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{K}_0$$

since Theorem 3.1 indicates that the map  $j \rightarrow j \vee \Sigma^{-1} \ell_{TC}(0)$  factors through the identity map  $j \rightarrow j$ . For the  $i = 1$  square, the splitting of  $\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty$  fits into a fiber sequence with the splitting of

$$(\Sigma^\infty \mathbb{C}P^\infty)_p^\wedge \simeq \Sigma^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge, 2) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty[1] \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{C}P^\infty[p-1],$$

which identifies  $\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[0]$  as  $\mathbb{S}_p^\wedge \vee \mathbb{C}P^\infty[p-1]$ . Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.4 indicate that the map  $y_0 \rightarrow \Sigma j \vee \Sigma^{-1} \ell_{TC}(p)$  factors through a weak equivalence  $y_0 \rightarrow \ell_{TC}(p)$ , and we get a weak equivalence

$$\mathcal{K}_1 \simeq \Sigma c \vee \mathbb{C}P^\infty[p-1],$$

where by definition  $c$  is the homotopy fiber of the canonical map  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow j$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Let  $\epsilon_i TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ ,  $\epsilon_i TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , and  $\epsilon_i K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  be the summands of  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$ ,  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , and  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ , respectively, specified in the  $i$ th square in the statement of the theorem. Our work in Section 3 shows that the map  $K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  restricts to a sum of maps  $\epsilon_i K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \rightarrow \epsilon_i TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ . The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence implies that the  $\mathbb{C}P_{-1}^\infty[i-1]$  summand of  $\epsilon_i TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  factors uniquely through  $\epsilon_i TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  as there are no essential maps to the other summands; Theorem 3.2 then implies that the map  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  decomposes as a wedge sum of maps  $\epsilon_i TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge \rightarrow \epsilon_i TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ .  $\square$

In the proof above, we argued computationally using the paucity of maps in the stable category between the summands; however, there is a conceptual reason to expect much of this behavior based on  $p$ -adically interpolated Adams operations. In [1, 10.7], we showed that the splitting of  $\overline{CP}_1^\infty$  arises from a  $p$ -adic interpolation of the Adams operations on  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  (constructed there). Such a  $p$ -adic interpolation is an extension to  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times$  of the action of the monoid  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^\times \cap \mathbb{Z}$  on  $TC(-; p)$  (in the  $p$ -complete stable category) acting by Adams operations. Using the Teichmüller character  $\omega$  to embed  $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times$ , we then get an action of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge[(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times]$ , which then produces an eigensplitting of  $TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  into the  $p - 1$  summands corresponding to the powers of the Teichmüller character. The wedge summand  $\epsilon_i TC(\mathbb{S})_p^\wedge$  in the proof of Theorem 5.1 corresponds to the character  $\omega^i$  in the sense that the  $p$ -adically interpolated Adams operation  $\psi^{\omega(\alpha)}$  acts on it by multiplication by  $\omega^i(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$  for all  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ .

We can imagine that  $p$ -adically interpolated Adams operations act on the whole linearization/cyclotomic trace square; we would then obtain an eigensplitting into  $p - 1$  squares exactly as in the statement of Theorem 5.1. We regard this as providing evidence for the following pair of conjectures, which together would give a conceptual (as opposed to calculational) proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Conjecture 5.3.** *Let  $R$  be an  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum. There exists a homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times$  to the composition monoid  $[K(R)_p^\wedge, K(R)_p^\wedge]$ , which is natural in the obvious sense and satisfies the following properties.*

- (i) *When  $R$  is a ring, the restriction to  $\mathbb{Z} \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times$  gives Quillen's Adams operations on the zeroth space.*
- (ii) *The induced map  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)^\times \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_* K(R)_p^\wedge, \pi_* K(R)_p^\wedge)$  is continuous where the target is given the  $p$ -adic topology.*

**Conjecture 5.4.** *For  $R$  a connective  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum, the cyclotomic trace  $K(R)_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(R)_p^\wedge$  commutes with the (conjectural)  $p$ -adically interpolated Adams operations.*

The preceding conjecture on  $p$ -adic interpolation of the Adams operations in  $p$ -completed algebraic  $K$ -theory is weaker than the (known) results in the case of topological  $K$ -theory in that we are only asking for continuity on homotopy groups rather than continuity for (some topology on) endomorphisms. Nevertheless, it implies a natural action of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge[(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times]$  (in the stable category) on  $p$ -completed algebraic  $K$ -theory spectra (of connective ring spectra), which is all that is needed for the eigensplitting. To compare to the splitting used in Theorem 5.1, note that for an algebraically closed field of characteristic prime to  $p$  or a strict Henselian ring  $A$  with  $p$  invertible, the Adams operation  $\psi^k$  acts on  $\pi_{2s} L_{K(1)} K(A)$  by multiplication by  $k^s$ . As a consequence, for any scheme satisfying the hypotheses for Thomason's spectral sequence [29, 4.1], the eigensplitting on homotopy groups is compatible with the filtration from  $E_\infty$  in the sense that the subquotient of  $H^s(R; \mathbb{Z}/p^n(i))$  comes from the  $\omega^i$  summand. For  $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ , we see that the  $\omega^i$  summand of  $L_{K(1)} K(R)$  has homotopy groups only in degrees congruent to  $2i - 1$  and  $2i - 2 \pmod{2(p - 1)}$  except when  $i = 0$  where the unit of  $\pi_0 L_{K(1)}(R)$  is also in the trivial character summand. As a consequence, we see that this splitting agrees with the splitting described above for  $L_{K(1)} K(\mathbb{Z}[1/p]) \simeq L_{K(1)} K(\mathbb{Z})$ . Specifically, the summand corresponding to trivial character is  $J$  and the summand corresponding to  $\omega^i$  is  $Y_{i-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p - 2$ .

The preceding conjectures also leads to the same splitting of  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ . Hesselholt-Madsen [12, Th. D, Add. 6.2] shows that the completion map and cyclotomic trace

$$TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow TC(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)_p^\wedge \longleftarrow K(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge)_p^\wedge$$

are weak equivalences after taking the connective cover and so in particular induce weak equivalences after  $K(1)$ -localization. The Quillen localization sequence identifies the homotopy fiber of the map  $K(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)$  as  $K(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Since the  $p$ -completion of  $K(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is weakly equivalent to  $H\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge$ , its  $K(1)$ -localization is trivial. Combining these maps, we obtain a canonical isomorphism in the stable category from  $L_{K(1)}TC(\mathbb{Z})$  to  $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)$ . The Hesselholt-Madsen proof of the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture for certain local fields [13, Th. A] (or in this case, inspection from the calculation of  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ ), shows that the map

$$TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge \longrightarrow L_{K(1)}TC(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)$$

becomes a weak equivalence after taking 1-connected covers. Again looking at Thomason's spectral sequence, we see that the conjectural Adams operations would then split  $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Q}_p^\wedge)$  into summands as follows. The summand corresponding to the trivial character is  $J \vee \Sigma^{-1}L_{TC}(0)$ , the summand corresponding to  $\omega$  is  $\Sigma J \vee \Sigma^{-1}L_{TC}(1)$ , and the summand corresponding to  $\omega^i$  is  $\Sigma^{-1}L_{TC}(i)$  for  $i = 2, \dots, p-2$ . A short argument now shows that the eigensplitting gives the splitting of  $TC(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  used in Theorem 5.1.

We have proposed relatively strong conjectures in 5.3 and 5.4; for a proof of Theorem 5.1, it would be enough for the operations to exist and be compatible just for regular rings. The work of Riou [24] makes the existence of such operations plausible. But given the work of Dundas [8], the more general conjectures above (at least for connective ring spectra  $R$  with  $\pi_0 R$  regular) are not far removed from the corresponding conjectures for rings. We do also note that work on non-existence of determinants [2, 2.3] and [30, Proof of 3.7] is often cited as evidence against the existence of Adams operations on the algebraic  $K$ -theory of ring spectra.

## 6. LOW DEGREE COMPUTATIONS

Theorem 1.2 describes the  $p$ -torsion in  $K(\mathbb{S})$  in terms of the  $p$ -torsion in various pieces. For convenience, we review in Proposition 6.1 below what is known about the homotopy groups of these pieces at least up to the range in which [27] describes the homotopy groups of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_1^\infty$ . As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, irregular primes potentially contribute in degrees divisible by 4 but otherwise make no contribution to the torsion of  $K(\mathbb{S})$  until degree 22. Thus,  $\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})$  in degrees  $\leq 21$  not divisible by 4 is fully computed (up to some 2-torsion extensions) by the work of Rognes [26, 27]. For convenience, we assemble the computation of  $\pi_*K(\mathbb{S})$  for  $* \leq 22$  in Table 1 on page 20.

**Proposition 6.1.** The  $p$ -torsion groups  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_*\mathbb{S})$ ,  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_*c)$ ,  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_*\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}))$ , and  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_*\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_1^\infty)$  are known in at least the following ranges, as follows.

- (i)  $\pi_*\mathbb{S}$ ,  $\pi_*j$ , see for example [21, 1.1.13].  $\pi_*\mathbb{S}$  splits as

$$\pi_*\mathbb{S} = \pi_*j \oplus \pi_*c.$$

$\text{tor}_p(\pi_*j)$  is zero unless  $2(p-1)$  divides  $k+1$ , in which case it is cyclic of order  $p^{s+1}$  where  $k+1 = 2(p-1)p^s m$  for  $m$  relatively prime to  $p$ . See below for  $\pi_*c$ .

TABLE 1. The homotopy groups of  $K(\mathbb{S})$  in low degrees

$n$	$\pi_n K(\mathbb{S})$				
0	$\mathbb{Z}$				
1	$\mathbb{Z}/2$				
2	$\mathbb{Z}/2$				
3	$\mathbb{Z}/8 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$			
4	0				
5	$\mathbb{Z}$				
6	$\mathbb{Z}/2$				
7	$\mathbb{Z}/16 \times \mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/5$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$			
8	$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$				$\oplus K_8(\mathbb{Z})$
9	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$			
10	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/8 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$			
11	$\mathbb{Z}/8 \times \mathbb{Z}/9 \times \mathbb{Z}/7$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$		
12	$\mathbb{Z}/9$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$			$\oplus K_{12}(\mathbb{Z})$
13	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$				
14	$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/9$		
15	$\mathbb{Z}/32 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/5$	$\oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$			
16	$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/8 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$		$\oplus K_{16}(\mathbb{Z})$
17	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^4$	$\oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$			
18	$\mathbb{Z}/8 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/32 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/5$		
19	$\mathbb{Z}/8 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/11$	$\oplus [64]$			
20	$\mathbb{Z}/8 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$	$\oplus [128]$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$		$\oplus K_{20}(\mathbb{Z})$
21	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$	$\oplus [16]$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$		
22	$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$	$\oplus [2^?]$	$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$		$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/691$
	①	②	③	④	⑤

The table compiles the results reviewed in Proposition 6.1 (q.v. for sources) and [26, 5.8] into the computation of  $\pi_n K(\mathbb{S})$  for  $n \leq 22$ . The description of  $\pi_n K(\mathbb{S})$  is divided into columns:

1. The non-torsion part
2. The contribution from the torsion of  $\mathbb{S}$
3. The remaining 2-torsion (from [26])
4. The contribution from  $\Sigma c$  for odd primes
5. The torsion contribution from  $\Sigma \overline{CP}_{-1}^\infty$  for odd primes
6. The torsion contribution from  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$  for odd primes

Presently  $K_{4n}(\mathbb{Z})$  is unknown for  $n > 1$ , conjectured to be 0 (the Kummer-Vandiver conjecture) and if non-zero is a finite group with order a product of irregular primes, each of which is  $> 10^8$ .

Summands denoted as  $[m]$  are finite groups of order  $m$  whose isomorphism class is not known.

- (ii)  $\pi_*c$ , see for example [21, 1.1.14]. In degrees  $\leq 6p(p-1)-6$ ,  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_*c)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  in the following degrees and zero in all others. (In the table,  $\alpha_1 \in \pi_{2p-3j}$ .)

Generator	Degree
$\beta_1$	$2p(p-1)-2$
$\alpha_1\beta_1$	$2(p+1)(p-1)-3$
$\beta_1^2$	$4p(p-1)-4$
$\alpha_1\beta_1^2$	$2(2p+1)(p-1)-5$
$\beta_2$	$2(2p+1)(p-1)-2$
$\alpha_1\beta_2$	$4(p+1)(p-1)-3$
$\beta_1^3$	$6p(p-1)-6$

- (iii)  $\pi_*\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})$ , see for example [33, §VI.10] or Section 2.  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_*\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}))$  is zero in odd degrees. If  $p$  is regular, then  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_{2k}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})) = 0$ . If  $p$  satisfies the Kummer-Vandiver condition, then

$$\text{tor}_p(\pi_{4k}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tor}_p(\pi_{4k+2}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge / \left(\frac{B_{2k+2}}{2k+2}\right),$$

where  $B_n$  denotes the Bernoulli number, numbered by the convention  $\frac{t}{e^t-1} = \sum B_n \frac{t^n}{n!}$ . If  $p$  does not satisfy the Kummer-Vandiver condition then  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_{4k}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})) = 0$  for  $k = 1$  and is an unknown finite group for  $k > 1$ , while  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_{4k+2}\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z}))$  is an unknown group of order  $\#(\mathbb{Z}_p^\wedge / (B_{2k+2}/(2k+2)))$  for all  $k$ .

- (iv)  $\pi_*\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty$ , see [27, 4.7].

- In odd degrees  $\leq |\beta_2| - 2 = 2(2p+1)(p-1) - 4$ ,

$$\text{tor}_p(\pi_{2n+1}\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/p$$

in degrees  $n = p^2 - p - 1 + m$  or  $n = 2p^2 - 2p - 2 + m$  for  $1 \leq m \leq p-3$  and zero otherwise.

- In even degrees  $\leq 2p(p-1)$ ,

$$\text{tor}_p(\pi_{2n}\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/p$$

for  $m(p-1) < n < mp$  for  $2 \leq m \leq p-1$  and zero otherwise except that

$$\text{tor}_p(\pi_{2(p(p-1)-1)}\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty) = 0.$$

Beyond this range, [27, 4.7] only describes the size of the group. In all even degrees  $\leq |\beta_2| - 2 = 2(2p+1)(p-1) - 4$ , the size of  $\text{tor}_p(\pi_{2n}\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)$  is

$$\#(\text{tor}_p(\pi_{2n}\Sigma\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_{-1}^\infty)) = p^{a(n)+b(n)-c(n)-d(n)+e(n)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a(n) &= \lfloor (n-1)/(p-1) \rfloor \\ b(n) &= \lfloor (n-1)/(p(p-1)) \rfloor \\ c(n) &= \lfloor n/p \rfloor \\ d(n) &= \lfloor n/p^2 \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e(n) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } n = p^2 - 2 + mp \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq p - 2, \\ -1, & \text{if } n = p - 2 + mp \text{ for } m \geq p - 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer  $\leq x$ . The formulas  $a, b, c, d$  above count the number of positive integers  $< n$  (in  $a$  and  $b$ ) or  $\leq n$  (in  $c$  and  $d$ ) that are divisible by the denominator.

The formula above shows that for  $p = 3$ ,  $\pi_{14}(\Sigma \overline{CP}_{-1}^\infty)$  has order 9. Rognes [27, 4.9(b)] shows that this group is  $\mathbb{Z}/9$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Vigleik Angeltveit, Andrew J. Blumberg, Teena M. Gerhardt, Michael A. Hill, Tyler Lawson, and Michael A. Mandell. Topological cyclic homology via the norm. Preprint, arXiv:1401.5001, 2014.
- [2] Christian Ausoni, Bjørn Ian Dundas, and John Rognes. Divisibility of the Dirac magnetic monopole as a two-vector bundle over the three-sphere. *Doc. Math.*, 13:795–801, 2008.
- [3] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Mandell. The nilpotence theorem for the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the sphere spectrum. Preprint, arXiv:1405.6112, 2014.
- [4] M. Bökstedt, W. C. Hsiang, and I. Madsen. The cyclotomic trace and algebraic  $K$ -theory of spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 111(3):465–539, 1993.
- [5] M. Bökstedt and I. Madsen. Topological cyclic homology of the integers. *Astérisque*, (226):57–143, 1994.  $K$ -theory (Strasbourg, 1992).
- [6] A. K. Bousfield. The localization of spectra with respect to homology. *Topology*, 18(4):257–281, 1979.
- [7] A. K. Bousfield. On the homotopy theory of  $K$ -local spectra at an odd prime. *Amer. J. Math.*, 107(4):895–932, 1985.
- [8] Bjørn Ian Dundas. Relative  $K$ -theory and topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.*, 179(2):223–242, 1997.
- [9] W. G. Dwyer and S. A. Mitchell. On the  $K$ -theory spectrum of a ring of algebraic integers. *K-Theory*, 14(3):201–263, 1998.
- [10] William G. Dwyer and Eric M. Friedlander. Topological models for arithmetic. *Topology*, 33(1):1–24, 1994.
- [11] Thomas G. Goodwillie. Relative algebraic  $K$ -theory and cyclic homology. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 124(2):347–402, 1986.
- [12] Lars Hesselholt and Ib Madsen. Cyclic polytopes and the  $K$ -theory of truncated polynomial algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 130(1):73–97, 1997.
- [13] Lars Hesselholt and Ib Madsen. On the  $K$ -theory of local fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 158(1):1–113, 2003.
- [14] John R. Klein and John Rognes. The fiber of the linearization map  $A(*) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z})$ . *Topology*, 36(4):829–848, 1997.
- [15] Manfred Kolster, Thong Nguyen Quang Do, and Vincent Fleckinger. Twisted  $S$ -units,  $p$ -adic class number formulas, and the Lichtenbaum conjectures. *Duke Math. J.*, 84(3):679–717, 1996.
- [16] Ib Madsen. Algebraic  $K$ -theory and traces. In *Current developments in mathematics, 1995 (Cambridge, MA)*, pages 191–321. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [17] Ib Madsen and Christian Schlichtkrull. The circle transfer and  $K$ -theory. In *Geometry and topology: Aarhus (1998)*, volume 258 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 307–328. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [18] B. Mazur and A. Wiles. Class fields of abelian extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . *Invent. Math.*, 76(2):179–330, 1984.
- [19] Randy McCarthy. Relative algebraic  $K$ -theory and topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.*, 179(2):197–222, 1997.
- [20] Douglas C. Ravenel. Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(2):351–414, 1984.

- [21] Douglas C. Ravenel. *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, volume 128 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992. Appendix C by Jeff Smith.
- [22] H. Reich and M. Varisco. On the adams isomorphism for equivariant orthogonal spectra. Preprint, arXiv:1404.4034, 2014.
- [23] Kenneth A. Ribet. A modular construction of unramified  $p$ -extensions of  $Q(\mu_p)$ . *Invent. Math.*, 34(3):151–162, 1976.
- [24] Joël Riou. Algebraic  $K$ -theory,  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy and Riemann-Roch theorems. *J. Topol.*, 3(2):229–264, 2010.
- [25] John Rognes. Characterizing connected  $K$ -theory by homotopy groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 114(1):99–102, 1993.
- [26] John Rognes. Two-primary algebraic  $K$ -theory of pointed spaces. *Topology*, 41(5):873–926, 2002.
- [27] John Rognes. The smooth Whitehead spectrum of a point at odd regular primes. *Geom. Topol.*, 7:155–184 (electronic), 2003.
- [28] Peter Schneider. Über gewisse Galoiscohomologiegruppen. *Math. Z.*, 168(2):181–205, 1979.
- [29] R. W. Thomason. Algebraic  $K$ -theory and étale cohomology. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 18(3):437–552, 1985.
- [30] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic  $K$ -theory of spaces, a manifold approach. In *Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 1 (London, Ont., 1981)*, volume 2 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 141–184. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [31] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic  $K$ -theory of spaces, localization, and the chromatic filtration of stable homotopy. In *Algebraic topology, Aarhus 1982 (Aarhus, 1982)*, volume 1051 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 173–195. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [32] Lawrence C. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, volume 83 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997.
- [33] Charles A. Weibel. *The K-book*, volume 145 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. An introduction to algebraic  $K$ -theory.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, TX 78712  
*E-mail address:* `blumberg@math.utexas.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405  
*E-mail address:* `mmandell@indiana.edu`